



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/888,452	06/25/2001	Prabhakar Gopalan	AUS920010328US1	8688	
. 75	90 06/18/2003				
Rudolf O. Siegesmund Suite 2000 4627 N. Central Expressway Dallas, TX 75205-4022			EXAMINER		
			JUNG, DAVID YIUK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2175	2	
			DATE MAIL ED. 06/19/2002	ろ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

&

Application No.

09/888,452

Applicant(s)

Gopalan

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Art Unit **David Jung**

2175



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover si	neet with	the correspondence address		
	for Reply			·		
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	_ MONTH(S) FROM				
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In (no event, however,	may a reply!	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
mailing	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th			•		
- If NO p	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a	and will expire SIX (6)) MONTHS f	from the mailing date of this communication.		
- Any re	e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the second secon					
earned Status	d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			·		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 23, 2	2001		·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-fina	1.	·		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	•		•		
Disposi	ition of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u>			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claims	are	e subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
	ation Papers					
_	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepte	ed or b)	objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	: a)□ ε	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 3!	5 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) 🗀	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 1	17.2(a)).	·		
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified cop	ies not re	eceived.		
14) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S.	C. § 119(e).		
a) 🗆						
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm	•					
,,	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)			O-413) Paper No(s)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
3) [] inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Uther:				

Application/Control Number: 09/888,452 Page 2

Art Unit: 2175

III. DETAILED ACTION

Claims Presented

1. Claims 1-23 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 1-13 and 18-22 recite "DPDB" without antecedent basis. For the purposes of examination under 35 USC 103 in this Office Action, DPDB shall be construed as same as "CPDB" -- which in turn shall be construed merely as a centralized personal data base.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2175

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over www.ragnet.ac.uk/policy/privacy.html, hereinafter also referred as "Privacy".
- 7. In regard to claim 1, Privacy teaches a programmable apparatus comprising:
- a CPDB in a first computer (first paragraph, i.e. personal data);
 - a network (second paragraph, i.e. RAGnet);
- a second computer connected to the first computer ...; wherein responsive to receipt of a primary number and a secondary number from the second computer, data from the DPDB is transmitted to the second computer (section on Membership, i.e. members use various data such as name, address -- hence primary number and secondary numbers -- from their computers -- hence second computer).

Application/Control Number: 09/888,452 Page 4

Art Unit: 2175

8. These passages of Privacy are not explicit about "by the network."

- 9. It was well known in the art to connect computers "by the network" in a system such as Privacy for the motivation of providing convenient access to the user.
- 10. It would have been obvious at the time of the claimed invention to modify the teachings of these passages of Privacy to have such "by the network" for the motivation noted in the previous paragraphs.
- 11. Regarding claim 2, such particular data handlings are well know in the art for the motivation of efficient information retrieval.
- 12. Regarding claims 3, 4, 7, 12, 13, 14, such uses of basic, primary and seconddary numbers are suggested by Privay (section on Membership, i.e. memebers use various data such as name, address -- hence primary number and secondary numbers).
- 13. Regarding claims 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, such data concerning users are suggested by Privacy (section on Events, i.e., collect user data to handle the event).
- 14. Regarding claims 14, 15, 16, 17, these claims are CPDB analogs of claims 1-13 (programmable apparatus claims). For the reasons noted in the rejections of claims 1-13, these claims 14,

Art Unit: 2175

15, 16, 17 are not patentable.

- 15. Regarding claims 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, these claims are method analogs of claims 1-13 (programmable apparatus claims). For the reasons noted in the rejections of claims 1-13, these claims 18, 19, 20, 21, 22 are not patentable.
- 16. Regarding claim 23, this claim is a computer readable memory analog of claims 1-13 (programmable apparatus claims). For the reasons noted in the rejections of claims 1-13, this claim 23 are not patentable.

Conclusion

Points of Contact

17. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Art Unit: 2175

Or:

(703) 746-5606 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Jung whose telephone number is (703) 308-5262 or Dov Popovici whose telephone number is (703) 305-3830.

Application/Control Number: 09/888,452 Page 7

Art Unit: 2175

David Jung

Patent Examiner

June 6, 2003